

Arizona Supreme Court
Criminal Petition for Review - Appeal

CR-24-0172-PR

STATE OF ARIZONA v SCOTT BACKUS

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 15-Jul-2024
 Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee
 (Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

Attorneys for: Appellee

Alice Jones, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 28062)
 Diane L Hunt, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 16566)

Side 2. SCOTT BACKUS, Appellant
 (Litigant Group) SCOTT BACKUS

- Scott Backus PRO SE

CASE STATUS

Jul 15, 2024.....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA 1 CA-CR 23-0192					
YAV V1300CR202280018	Possession or Use of Narcotic Drugs (Fentanyl)	4 years, Probation	Michael R Bluff, Sentencing Comments: (none)	JURY	

4 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 15-Jul-2024 FILED: Motion for Time Extention [sic] for Petition for Review (Appellant Backus, Pro Se)
- 17-Jul-2024 Appellant Backus filed a "Motion for Time Extention [sic] for Petition for Review" on July 15, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellant's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by August 1, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)
- 31-Jul-2024 FILED: Petition for Review (Appellant Backus, Pro Se)
- 1-Aug-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record